



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/813,415	03/21/2001	Mark Dilman	1-6	2405	
26291	7590 07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
,	TTERSON & SHERI	BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H			
595 SHREWS FIRST FLOO	SBURY AVE, STE 100 R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2143		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				- W		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	for		
		09/813,415	DILMAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Asghar Bilgrami	2143			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the p	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Sta	ige		
* 6	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
•	and the second s					
Attach	t(c)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-15	2)		
	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/813,415

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 through 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Mandal (U.S. 6,170,009).

As per claims 1 & 7 Mandal disclosed a method for monitoring usage of resources in a plurality of elements each capable of communicating with a centralized management station, comprising the steps of computing in each of the elements, a localized value indicative of the usage, in said element, of said resources, and, responsive to said localized value, communicating a message to said central station (col.2, lines 51-57, col.3, lines 45-67), and responsive to receipt or absence of receipt of said message in said centralized management station, obtaining information from at least another one of said elements indicative of the usage of said resources in said other element (col.4, lines 1-14).

3. As per claim 2 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said message includes said localized value (col.6, lines 1-18).

Application/Control Number: 09/813,415

Art Unit: 2143

Page 3

- 4. As per claim 3 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 2, wherein said method further includes the step of using the information from at least another one of said elements as well as said localized value to estimate the global usage of said resources in all of said elements (col.6, lines 1-27).
- 5. As per claim 4 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said localized value is indicative of the present usage of resources by said elements and said computing step includes comparing said localized value to a fixed threshold value (col.3, lines 45-67).
- 6. As per claim 5 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said localized value is indicative of the rate of change of usage of resources by said elements and said computing step includes comparing said localized value to a fixed threshold value (col.3, lines 29-67).
- 7. As per claim 6 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, further including the step of responsive to the results of said polling, adjusting the use of resources at one or more of said elements (col.6, lines 1-27).
- 8. As per claim 7 Mandal disclosed a method for monitoring usage of resources in a plurality of elements each capable of communicating with a centralized management station, comprising the steps of asynchronous reporting of events when the resource usage in any of said elements deviates from a prescribed norm, and a periodic polling of said network elements in response to an event generated in said asynchronous reporting step (col.6, lines 1-27).
- 9. As per claims 9 & 10 Mandal disclosed a technique for managing network elements in order to reduce the amount of monitoring related traffic, comprising the steps of partitioning a global resource into a plurality of separate nodes, assigning a fixed resource budget to each of the nodes, when any of the nodes exceeds its budget, based upon local monitoring at that node,

Art Unit: 2143

triggering a report in the node by sending a message to a central manager, and responsive to receipt of said message in said central manager, issuing a global poll of all of the nodes in said network (col.4, lines 52-56 & col.5, lines 31-44).

- 10. As per claim 11 Mandal disclosed the method defined in claim 8 wherein said network elements are routers switches and bridges and firewall devices (col.7, lines 29-39).
- 11. As per claim 12 Mandal disclosed the method defined in claim 8 wherein said network elements are application level elements such as servers, hosts, and layer 4-7 switches (col.2, lines66-67 & col.3, lines 1-12).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hunter U.S. Patent No (6363422) discloses multi-capability facilities monitoring and control intranet for facilities management system.

Holloway U.S. Patent No (6,176,883) discloses system and method for generating unsupported network information indicators.

Abbott U.S. Patent No (6,542,887) discloses incorporating native code in Java archive files.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 703-305-4623. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,415

Art Unit: 2143

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2143

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2008